Constraining the low-density neutron star equation of state from heavy-ion collisions

#### Helena Pais CFisUC, University of Coimbra, Portugal

in collaboration with F. Gulminelli (LPC-Caen, France), C. Providência (Univ. Coimbra), and G. Roepke (Univ. Rostock, Germany), and with R. Bougault (LPC-Caen-France) and the INDRA collaboration

#### **Colloque GANIL 2021**

#### Autrans-Méaudre en Vercors, September 30 2021



#### Acknowledgments:

FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR













CIFICI





- Outer crust: Neutron rich nuclei embedded in electron sea
- Inner crust: Above neutron drip density, nucleons form geometrical structures (non-spherical: pasta phases) embedded in neutron and electron background gas.
- Core: Uniform matter, in the centre exotic matter may exist.

# Where do these clusters form?

in http://essayweb.net/astronomy/blackhole.shtml

Credit: Soares-Santos et al. and DES Collab **EVOLUTION OF STARS** Planetary Nebula GW170817 GW170817 Small Star Red Giant **DFCam** observation **DECam** observation White Dwarf (0.5-1.5 days post merger) (>14 days post merger) Neutron Star Supernova **Red Supergiant** Large Star Stellar Cloud with NS mergers Protostars Blac IMAGES NOT TO SCALE

in https://www.ligo.org/detections/GW170817.php

scenarios where light and heavy clusters are important: supernovae, NS mergers, (crust of) neutron stars

# How do these clusters affect the star?

- They influence supernova properties: the clusters can modify the neutrino transport, affecting the cooling of the proto-neutron star.
- •These clusters may also affect the cooling of binary and accreting systems.
- •Magnetars (neutron stars with very strong magnetic fields) may have an inner crust even more complex.

#### Describing neutron stars P.B. Demorest *et al*, Nature 467, 1081, 2010 **Prescription:** MS0 1.EoS: P(E) for a system at given 2.5 AP3 PAL1 ENG MS2 $\rho$ and T 2.0 SOM3 FSU 11903+0327 2.Compute TOV equations solar 1.5 J1909-3744 SQM1-PAL6 Mass ( Double NS Systen 3.Get star M(R) relation 1.0 0.5 Problem: Which phenomenological EoS to choose? 0.0<sup>L</sup> 11 12 13 14 15 Radius (km)

Many EoS models in literature: Phenomenological models (parameters are fitted to nuclei properties): **RMF, Skyrme**...

Solution: Need Constraints (Experiments, Microscopic calculations, Observations) 5

# **EoS Constraints** • Terrestrial Experiments:

• VEoS: only depends on exp. B and scattering phase shifts. Correct zero-density limit for finite T EoS.

• Kc from HIC: cluster formation observed in HIC.

 PREXII (Adhikari et al, PRL, 126, 172502 (2021): Rn-Rp(208Pb)=0.283 ± 0.071 fm.

-Reed et al, PRL 126, 172503 (2021): L = 106 ± 37 MeV<sub>20</sub>

-Yue et al, arXiv:2102.05267, L = 85.5 ± 22.2 MeV;

-Essick et al, arXiv:2102.10074 (accepted in PRL),

L = 58 ± 19 MeV.

Also Reinhard et al, arXiv:2105.15050, start from Apv and get r(skin)=0.19 $\pm$ 0.02 fm, with L = 54  $\pm$  8 MeV.

• Spectra of charged pions (Estee et al, PRL 126, 162701 (2021)): 42<L<117MeV.



L(MeV)

### EoS Constraints



#### Astrophysical Observations:

 GW170817 from NS-NS (Abbott et al, PRL 119, 161101 (2017) followed up by GRB170817A and AT2017gfo.

Others followed:

- GW190425 (Abbott et al, ApJL 892, L3 (2020): largest NS binary known to date
- GW190814 (Abbott et al, ApJL 896, L44 (2020): BH+2.5-2.6Msun object (not ruled out yet to be NS).
- NASA's Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER), a soft X-ray telescope in ISS:
- PSR J0030+0451:

-Riley et al, ApJL 887, L21 (2019): M= $1.34^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$  M $_{\odot}$ , R= $12.71^{+1.14}_{-1.19}$  km -Miller et al, ApJL 887, L24 (2019): M= $1.44^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$  M $_{\odot}$ ; R= $13.02^{+1.24}_{-1.06}$  km • PSR J0740+6620:

-Riley et al, arXiv:2105.06980: M=  $2.072^{+0.067}_{-0.066}$  M $_{\odot}$  ; R=  $12.39^{+1.30}_{-0.98}$  km

#### EoS Constraints



## EoS Constraints

#### In a near future:

- ATHENA, an X-ray high-precision determination observatory for NS mass and radius to be launched in 2028.
- New data on NS systems will heavily increase when SKA, the world's largest radio telescope, will be in full power.
- The radio telescope FAST has started operating, and will give information on the NS mass.
- The Einstein Telescope (ET), an underground infrastructure to host a 3G gravitational-wave observatory, foresees the beginning of construction in 2026 with the goal to start observations in 2035...

• ...

- On the experimental side, FAIR will put more constraints on the high-density behaviour of nuclear matter.
- CREX should release results soon...
- Results of INDRA-FAZIA experiment (see talk today by Caterina Ciampi).

• ...

## Supernova EoS with light clusters

- The SN EoS should incorporate: all relevant clusters, (mean-field) interaction between nucleons and clusters, and a suppression mechanism of clusters at high densities.
- Different methods: nuclear statistical equilibrium, quantum statistical approach, and
- •RMF approach: clusters as new degrees of freedom, with effective mass dependent on density.
- In-medium effects: cluster interaction with medium described via the meson couplings, or effective mass shifts, or both
- Constrains are needed to fix the couplings: low densities: Virial EoS high densities: cluster formation has been measured in HIC

# Supernova EoS with light clusters

• The total baryonic density is defined as:

$$\rho = \rho_p + \rho_n + 4\rho_\alpha + 2\rho_d + 3\rho_h + 3\rho_t$$

• The global proton fraction as

$$Y_p = y_p + \frac{1}{2}y_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}y_d + \frac{2}{3}y_h + \frac{1}{3}y_t$$

with  $y_i = A_i(\rho_i/\rho)$  the mass fraction of cluster i.

- •Charge neutrality must be imposed:  $ho_e=Y_p~
  ho$
- The light clusters are in chemical equilibrium, with the chemical potential of each cluster i defined as

$$\mu_i = N_i \mu_n + Z_i \mu_p$$

### Exp Constraint: Equilibrium constants

• In Qin et , PRL 108, 172701 (2012), Kc were calculated with data from HIC:

$$K_c[j] = \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_n^{N_j} \rho_p^{Z_j}}$$

•At the time, unique existing constraint on in-medium modifications of light clusters at finite T.

• This analysis was performed using ideal gas considerations.

#### Experimental chemical equilibrium Constants with INDRA data Definition (2020); J.Phys.G 47, 105204 (2020)

• Experimental data includes 4He, 3He, 3H, 2H, and 6He.

• 3 experimental systems: 136Xe+124Sn, 124Xe+124Sn, and 124Xe+112Sn.



R. Bougault et al, for the INDRA collab, J. Phys. G 47, 025103 (2020)

• Vsurf is the velocity of the emitted particles at the nuclear surface, so fastest particles correspond to earliest emission times.

• The temperature, proton fraction and density as a function of Vsurf, for the intermediate mass system. 13

# Experimental determination of chemical equilibrium constants

 Weak point: T and density are NOT directly measured, but deduced from experimental multiplicities, using analytical expressions that assume the physics of an ideal gas...



### Considering in-medium effects

- How to solve this problem?
- We should take into account the interactions between clusters:

$$V_{f} = R_{np}^{\frac{A-Z}{A-1}} C_{AZ} \exp\left[\frac{B_{AZ}}{T(A-1)}\right] \left(\frac{g_{AZ}}{2^{A}} \frac{\tilde{Y}_{11}^{A}(\vec{p})}{\tilde{Y}_{AZ}(A\vec{p})}\right)^{\frac{1}{A-1}}$$
$$C_{AZ} = \exp\left[-\frac{a_{1}A^{a_{2}} + a_{3}|I|^{a_{4}}}{T_{HHe}(A-1)}\right]$$

•  $C_{AZ}$  depends on temperature T, number of clusters Ai, and isospin Ii.

### Considering in-medium effects

a1, a2, a3, and a4 are parameters that need to be determined.
How to do that? Bayesian analysis.

• They are going to be calculated such that the volumes of the clusters are the same, so that the thermodynamical conditions are fulfilled.

 The posterior distribution is obtained by imposing the volume observation with a likelihood probability:

$$P_{post}(\vec{a}) = \mathcal{N} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{AZ} (V_f^{(AZ)}(\vec{a}) - \bar{V}_f(\vec{a}))^2}{2\bar{V}_f(\vec{a})^2}\right)$$

• To minimize assumptions, we take flat priors  $P_{\text{prior}}(\vec{a}) = \theta(\vec{a}_{\min} - \vec{a}_{\max})$  that cover an enough large interval for the physically possible reduction of the binding energy.

# Considering in-medium effects how to implement

• The prior (posterior) probability distribution of any physical quantity X is given by

$$P(X = X_0) = \int d\vec{a} P(\vec{a}) \delta \left( X(\vec{a}) - X_0 \right)$$

 We can then calculate expectation values and the correspondent standard deviations:

$$\langle X \rangle = \int d\vec{a} P(\vec{a}) X(\vec{a})$$

$$\sigma_X = \sqrt{\langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle^2}$$

# Experimental chemical equilibrium constants with INDRA data

• The points show the posterior expectation values for the volumes:



# Equilibrium constants and data from INDRA



0.01

0.38

0.07

0.05

0.03

 $\rho \pm \sigma$  (fm<sup>-3</sup>)

- They give rise to larger densities, compared to ideal gas limit.
- The 3 data systems are compatible.

- Our model reproduces both virial limit and Kc from HIC data.
- INDRA data was analysed based on a new method, with in-medium effects.
- Comparing to a RMF model, a larger scalar coupling than the one found in a previous study NOT including in-medium effects in the data analysis was found.
- This implies a smaller effect on the binding energies of the clusters (xs=1 means unbound nucleons) => larger melting densities => MORE clusters in CCSN matter!!
- Light clusters and pasta structures are relevant and should be explicitly included in EoS for CCSN simulations and NS mergers.

#### Thank you!