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- Deformation, mp-mh $\rightarrow$ long range


## Symmetric mean-field

Degeneracies, missing physics Deformed mean-field

- Symmetry breaking expansion Multi-determinantal
- Hybrid scaling PGCM
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## Collectivity

- Little correction expected
- Good account static + dynamical
- Small discrepancies
- Lack of collective coordinates?
$\square$ PGCM-PT formalism
$\square$ New multi-reference perturbation theory
$\square$ Applicable to
$\square$ Doubly open-shell nuclei
$\square$ Ground and excited states
$\square$ Correlations in nuclear structure calculations
$\square$ Long range (static) vs. short range (dynamical) in first approximation
$\square$ Convenient but arbitrary boundary
$\square$ Optimal description of collective modes via PGCM...
$\square$... to be enriched in perturbation?
$\square$ Systematic uncertainties quantifications in ab initio methods
$\square$ Mid-term goal of ab initio methods
$\square$ Steady progress in the last few years
$\square$ To be enriched in a systematic way


Jean-Paul Ebran Yann Beaujeault-Taudiere

Heiko Hergert

## KULEUVEN <br> Pepijn Demol

Thomas Duguet
Vittorio Somà
Andrea Porro

Robert Roth
Alexander Tichai
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## Backup slides

## Outline of possible developments of PGCM-PT(2)

Validation of PGCM-PT(2) for open-shells

Extension to other symmetries

Optimization for realistic MS

Application to shell-model Hamiltonians

Non perturbative extensions of PGCM-PT(2)

Description of shape coexistence in Selenium
$\square$ [Burton20] J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2020, 16, 9, 5586-5600 (2020)
$\square$ [Tsuchimochi19] J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2019, 15, 12, 6688-6702 (2019)
$\square$ [Hüther20] Physics Letters B Volume 808, 135651 (2020)
$\square$ [Roth21] IM-NSCM \& FCI calculation, private communication
$\square$ [Choi11] SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, Volume 33, Issue 4, 1810-1836, (2011)
$\square$ [Hergert21] MR-IMSRG evolved Hamiltonian files, private communication
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## I imits of NO2R

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
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$$
O \equiv \frac{1}{(1!)^{2}} \partial_{b_{1}}^{a_{1}} C_{b_{1}}^{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{(2!)^{2}} a_{b_{1} b_{2}}^{a_{1} a_{2}} C_{b_{1} b_{2}}^{a_{1} a_{2}}+\frac{1}{(3!)^{2}} o_{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}^{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} C_{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}^{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} \longrightarrow O=\frac{1}{(1!)^{2}} a_{b_{1}}^{a_{1}}: C_{b_{1}}^{a_{1}}:+\frac{1}{(2!)^{2}} o_{b_{1} b_{2}}^{a_{1} a_{2}}: C_{b_{1} b_{2}}^{a_{1} a_{2}}+\frac{1}{(3!)^{2}}{ }^{a_{b_{1} b_{2} b_{2} a_{3}} a_{3}}: C_{b_{1} a_{2} b_{2} b_{3}}^{a_{1} a_{3}}:
$$

Normal ordering wrt. $|\Phi\rangle S D$

$$
\mathbf{o}_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right]=\sum_{n=k}^{N} \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\left[o^{(n)} \cdot \rho^{\Phi \otimes(n-k)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}
$$

$$
H^{\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{~B}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right] \equiv t \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} v \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{3!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \quad \text { Tensor product } \longleftarrow
$$

NO2B $\Leftrightarrow$ Keep only $\mathrm{k}<3$
$+t+v \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}$
$+v \cdot+w \cdot \rho^{\phi}$
Three-body discarded beyond mean-field

- Intricate workarounds


## Hinher rank nuclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions
BMF : NO2B approximation


## I imits of NO2R

## NO2B $\Leftrightarrow$ <br> Keep only $\mathrm{k}<3$

$$
H^{\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{~B}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right] \equiv t \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} v \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{3!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \quad \text { Tensor product } \longleftarrow
$$

$$
+t+v \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
$$

$$
+v \cdot+w \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
$$

Three-body discarded beyond mean-field

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds


## Playing with contractions

Arbitrary 1-body density matrix $\rho$
-

## In medium interactions

Involve only 1-body density matrices Symmetric truncated operator SP basis $\rightarrow$ start other calculations

## Hinher rank nıiclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions

BMF : NO2B approximation

$$
+t+v \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
$$

## I imits of NO2R

$$
+v \cdot+w \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
$$

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds


## Playing with contractions

Arbitrary 1-body density matrix $\rho$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { NO2B } \Leftrightarrow \\
\text { Keep only } k<3
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
H^{\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{~B}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right] \equiv t \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} v \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{3!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \quad \text { Tensor product } \longleftarrow
$$

## Three-body discarded beyond mean-field

$$
\binom{0_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}[\rho] \equiv \sum_{n=k}^{N} \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\left[o^{(n)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(n-k)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}},{ }_{2},}{o_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\sum_{l=n}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{l-n}}{(l-n)!}\left[0^{(l)}[\rho] \cdot \rho^{\otimes(l-n)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}} .}
$$

Back and forth transformation $\rho$ No Wick's theorem involved

## Hinher rank nuclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions

BMF : NO2B approximation

## I imits of NO2R

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds

In medium interactions
Involve only 1-body density matrices Symmetric truncated operator SP basis $\rightarrow$ start other calculations

Back and forth transformation $\rho$ No Wick's theorem involved

$$
H^{\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{~B}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right] \equiv t \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} v \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{3!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \quad \text { Tensor product } \longleftarrow
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { NO2B } \Leftrightarrow \\
\text { Keep only } k<3
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
+t+v \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
$$

$$
+v \cdot+w \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
$$

Playing with contractions
Arbitrary 1-body density matrix $\rho$

$$
\binom{\mathbf{o}_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}[\rho] \equiv \sum_{n=k}^{N} \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\left[o^{(n)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(n-k)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}},}{o_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\sum_{l=n}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{l-n}}{(l-n)!}\left[0^{(l)}[\rho] \cdot \rho^{\otimes(l-n)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}}
$$

## Approximation

$$
\overline{\mathbf{o}}^{(l)}[\rho] \equiv \mathbf{o}^{(l)}[\rho] \text { for } l \leq k,
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{o}}^{(l)}[\rho] \equiv 0 \text { for } l>k
$$

## Three-body discarded beyond mean-field

## Hinher rank nuclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions

BMF : NO2B approximation

## I imits of NO2R

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds

In medium interactions
Involve only 1-body density matrices Symmetric truncated operator SP basis $\rightarrow$ start other calculations

Playing with contractions
Arbitrary 1-body density matrix $\rho$

$$
\binom{\mathbf{o}_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}[\rho] \equiv \sum_{n=k}^{N} \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\left[o^{(n)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(n-k)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}},}{o_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\sum_{l=n}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{l-n}}{(l-n)!}\left[0^{(l)}[\rho] \cdot \rho^{\otimes(l-n)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}}
$$

## Approximation



Back and forth transformation No Wick's theorem involved

## NO2B $\Leftrightarrow$

$$
\text { Keep only } k<3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{~B}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right] & \equiv t \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} v \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{3!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \quad \text { Tensor product } \\
& +t+v \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \\
& +v \cdot+w \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Three-body discarded beyond mean-field

$\rho$

## Hinher rank nuclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions
BMF : NO2B approximation


## I imits of NO2R

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds


## In medium interactions

Involve only 1-body density matrices Symmetric truncated operator SP basis $\rightarrow$ start other calculations

Playing with contractions
Arbitrary 1-body density matrix $\rho$

$$
\binom{0_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}[\rho] \equiv \sum_{n=k}^{N} \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\left[o^{(n)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(n-k)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}},}{o_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\sum_{l=n}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{l-n}}{(l-n)!}\left[o^{(l)}[\rho] \cdot \rho^{\otimes(l-n)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}} .}
$$

## Approximation

$\begin{aligned} \bar{o}^{(l)}[\rho] & \equiv \mathbf{o}^{(l)}[\rho] \text { for } l \leq k, \\ \bar{o}^{(l)}[\rho] & \equiv 0 \text { for } l>k .\end{aligned} \quad \rightarrow$ transformed back to sp basis

## Specific case of the interaction

Back and forth transformation No Wick's theorem involved

## Hinher rank nuclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions

BMF : NO2B approximation

## I imits of NO2R

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds


## In medium interactions

Involve only 1-body density matrices Symmetric truncated operator SP basis $\rightarrow$ start other calculations

## NO2B $\Leftrightarrow$

$$
\text { Keep only } k<3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{~B}}\left[\rho^{\Phi}\right] & \equiv t \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} v \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{3!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \quad \text { Tensor product } \\
& +t+v \cdot \rho^{\Phi}+\frac{1}{2!} w \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \cdot \rho^{\Phi} \\
& +v \cdot+w \cdot \rho^{\Phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+0
$$

## Three-body discarded beyond mean-field

Playing with contractions
Arbitrary 1-body density matrix $\rho$

$$
\binom{\mathbf{o}_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}[\rho] \equiv \sum_{n=k}^{N} \frac{1}{(n-k)!}\left[o^{(n)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(n-k)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{k}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}, \bar{\eta}}{o_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\sum_{l=n}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{l-n}}{(l-n)!}\left[o^{(l)}[\rho] \cdot \rho^{\otimes(l-n)}\right]_{b_{1} \cdots b_{n}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}}
$$

## Approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathbf{o}}^{(l)}[\rho] \equiv \mathbf{o}^{(l)}[\rho] \text { for } l \leq k, \quad \rightarrow \text { transformed back to sp basis } \\
& \overline{\mathbf{o}}^{(l)}[\rho] \equiv 0 \text { for } l>k .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Specific case of the interaction

Back and forth transformation No Wick's theorem involved

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{h}^{(0)}[\rho] \equiv \frac{1}{3!} w^{(3)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(3)}, \\
& \bar{h}^{(1)}[\rho] \equiv t^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2!} w^{(3)} \cdot \rho^{\otimes(2)}, \\
& \bar{h}^{(2)}[\rho] \equiv v^{(2)}+w^{(3)} \cdot \rho,
\end{align*}
$$

## Hinher rank nuclear forces

1 N and 2 N always treated explicitly $3 N(4 N)$ manageable at $H F(B)$ level

- Low complexity
- Symmetry reductions

BMF : NO2B approximation

## I imits of NO2R

In open-shells

- Expansive calculations
- SB Hamiltonians
- Intricate workarounds
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Simple and cheap Same symmetries as $\rho$
Reduces to NO2B in closed shells Generalizable to $n>3$
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## PGCM

- Good overall agreement with experiment
- Spectra, moments and transitions
- Working best for standard rotational nuclei
- Room for improvement
- Island of inversion
- Missing fd-fp cross-shell correlations in ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Ne}$
- Possible improvements
- Enlarge set of collective coordinates
- Add elementary excitations
- Perturbatively (PGCM-PT next)
- Into PGCM ansatz
described
Exaggerated $\rightarrow$ Missing dynamical?

How to lower the intruder band?
$0_{+}^{5}$ 工


$2_{1}^{+} \stackrel{\square}{2(1)}$
$0_{1}^{+} \xrightarrow{2(1)}$

| $2_{1}^{+}$ |
| :---: |
| $0_{1}^{+} \underline{\text { হ2(64) }}$ |

ptational character more rotational

Special case of ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Ne}$

- Island of inversion
- Difficult for all methods
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## MR-IMSRG

$\rightarrow$ More perturbative problem
$\rightarrow$ Grasps high-lying correlations
$\rightarrow$ Smaller model space for PT?
Necessary posterior correction

- Optimal combination?

MR-IMSRG 「Heraert161
Nucleus-dependent preprocessing of H

Reshuffling of correlations


Decouples $\mid \Theta^{(0}$
-- Approaches g Recasting dyna

PGCM + MR-IM

- Already ex
- Encouragi - Improved
- Reshuffling of correlations
- Dynamical correlations still needed beyond PGCM
- Binding energy
- Spectra
- Three levers for an accurate / versatile / optimal nuclear structure description
a. Preprocessing of Hamiltonian via e.g. MR-IMSRG
b. PGCM to capture static correlations at low computational cost
c. PGCM-PT(2) to bring remaining dynamical correlations

Ab Initio Treatme and the Neutrinoles

