Beyond-mean-field calculations of transfermium nuclei

Benjamin Bally

in collaboration with M. Bender

Colloque GANIL - 29/09/2021







Need for beyond-mean-field (BMF) calculations



- Great experimental progress
 - ◊ Spectroscopy possible
 - New facilities



- Need to improve the theory!
 - Effective interactions
 - ◊ BMF calculations → correlations



Theisen et al., NPA 944, 388 (2015)



• Electromagnetic moments (²⁵³No) and differential charge radii

Raeder et al., PRL 120, 232503 (2018)

- Study of isomers for ^{254,255,256}No
 - \rightarrow talks by M. Forge and K. Kessaci



• Variational method: $\delta \frac{\langle \Theta | H_{\text{phen}} | \Theta \rangle}{\langle \Theta | \Theta \rangle} = 0$



- Variational method: $\delta \frac{\langle \Theta | H_{\text{phen}} | \Theta \rangle}{\langle \Theta | \Theta \rangle} = 0$
- Mean-field step: minimization under constraints → {|Φ_i⟩} example of constraints: deformation (β, γ), rotational frequency (ω), ...



- Variational method: $\delta \frac{\langle \Theta | H_{\text{phen}} | \Theta \rangle}{\langle \Theta | \Theta \rangle} = 0$
- Mean-field step: minimization under constraints → {|Φ_i⟩}
 example of constraints: deformation (β, γ), rotational frequency (ω), ...
- Beyond-mean-field step: minimization for |Ψ⟩ = Σ_{iK} f_{iK} P^J_{MK} P^N P^Z |Φ_i⟩
 ⇒ Projected Generator Coordinate Method (PGCM)



- Variational method: $\delta \frac{\langle \Theta | H_{\text{phen}} | \Theta \rangle}{\langle \Theta | \Theta \rangle} = 0$
- Mean-field step: minimization under constraints → {|Φ_i⟩}
 example of constraints: deformation (β, γ), rotational frequency (ω), ...
- Beyond-mean-field step: minimization for |Ψ⟩ = Σ_{iK} f_{iK} P^J_{MK} P^N P^Z |Φ_i⟩
 ⇒ Projected Generator Coordinate Method (PGCM)

Advantages (of our implementation)

- Beyond-mean-field correlations
- Good quantum numbers (*JM*, *N*, *Z*, *P*) •
- No-core calculations

- Triaxial deformations (β, γ)
- Cranking (ω)
- Skyrme parametrization: SLyMR1

Triaxial energy (AMPNP) surface of ²⁵²No





Triaxial energy (AMPNP) surface of ²⁵³No





Triaxial energy (AMPNP) surface of ²⁵⁴No







	J^{π}	E	Q_s	μ
		(MeV)	(<i>eb</i>)	(μ_N)
Experiment	9/2-	-1877.885(7)	+5.9(1.4)(0.9)	-0.527(33)(75)
PGCM-SLyMR1	9/2-	-1871.415	+7.0	-0.96

Raeder *et al.*, PRL 120, 232503 (2018) AME2020, CPC 45, 030002 (2021)

- PGCM(β, γ) with 15 states
- Good agreement (except the magnetic moment)

_

Difference of square charge radii for ^{252,253,254}No



• Measurement of $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ with respect to ²⁵⁴No

Raeder et al., PRL 120, 232503 (2018)





• PGCM(β, γ) with 15 states



Improved moment of inertia



♦ Cranking: $\delta \langle \Phi | H_{\text{phen}} - \omega J_{x} | \Phi \rangle \longrightarrow \text{PGCM}(\omega)$ with 7 states





- Application of the PGCM method to very heavy nuclei
 - Spin-parity of low-lying states
 - Electromagnetic moments and transitions
 - Rotational bands
 - ♦ Isomers (e.g. for ²⁵⁴No)
- Objectives
 - ◊ Calculations of ^{252,253,254,255}No
 - \diamond PGCM(β, γ, ω) → 1-2M CPU hours/nucleus

Collaborators





- T. R. Rodríguez
- A. Sánchez-Fernández
- J. Martínez-Larraz Torra
- L. Robledo
- A. Poves



J. M. Yao R. Wirth H. Hergert



- T. Duguet M Frosini
- V. Somà
- I-P Ebran
- Y. Beaujeault-Taudière



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL

A. Márquez Romero J. Engel



M. Bender K. Bennaceur J. Meyer



P.-H. Heenen W. Ryssens



A. Tichai



